“All for one........but **NOT** for ALL”

ACC Mick Ball
What does the Literature Tell Us?
Renzema 5 Points

• Does electronic monitoring affect recidivism after the period has concluded?
• Does electronic monitoring affect offender behaviour during the monitored period?
• Does electronic monitoring have positive or negative impacts other than those of offender criminal behaviour?
• Aside from recidivism impacts, what is the financial impact of operating electronic monitoring?
• Does electronic monitoring allow more people to be dealt with more severely than they would have been had it not been used?

Renzema (2012)
A Protocol and Pilot Test for a Randomized Controlled Trial for the Electronic Monitoring of Offenders
The 3 Strands

1. Exploration of Existing Data

2. Pilot Test

3. Protocol for RCT (Informed by 1 and 2)
Exploration of Existing Data

• 93 cases
• 89 Male and 4 Female
• Average Age 28.9 years
• Oldest 68yrs  Youngest 17yrs
Treatment Dosage (Subjects/Weeks)
Offending Profile

- 34%: Only Offended Whilst Wearing TAG
- 27%: Only Offended After Removal
- 21%: Offended Whilst Wearing and After Removal
- 18%: No Offences Committed
Recidivism

• 61 subjects (66%) committed a total of 322 offences either whilst wearing the tag or after its removal an average of 5.3 offences each

• 13 of these subjects committed 183 offences between them an average of 14.1 offences each

• 32 (34%) subjects committed no offences

• Average number of days first offence committed after removal = 23 days
## Pipeline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Enter</th>
<th>Leave</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prior to April</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sept</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>93</strong></td>
<td><strong>67</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Identification of suitable candidates; Formal consent given yes or no?

Answer ‘Yes’ randomised into two groups

Experimental Group
Tag fitted immediately

Control Group
Tag fitted after a month

Answer ‘NO’
Take no further part in test
Reflections

• Eligibility criteria
• Pipeline
• Random assignment
• Measuring and monitoring outcomes
• Partnership working
• Team dynamics/leadership
Suggested Outcomes of Future RCT

- Measurement of recidivism (on and off tag)
- Survival analysis
- Identifying changes in offending behaviour – types of offences
- Capture of other positive outcomes e.g. Attendance at designated IOM meetings, Drug/Alcohol screening results
“All for one....but NOT for ALL”